UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,995	05/11/2007	Greg H. Crocker	PKT-P1-06(US)	8906	
<sup>28710</sup> PETER K. TRZ	7590 10/13/201 YNA, ESO.	1	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 7131			CAMPEN, KELLY SCAGGS		
CHICAGO, IL 60680			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3691		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/13/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/575,995	10/575,995 CROCKER, GREG H.				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KELLY CAMPEN	3691				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):					
(1) <u>KELLY CAMPEN</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Peter Trzyna</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 07 June 2011.						
Type: X Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: Applicant	applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.					
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 01 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and determined the checked box (es) above.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-45</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)						
Discussed a timeline for applicant to submit changes as discussed in the 3/25/2011 interview when applicant agreed to cancel corresponding claims to issued claim set in parent (10/867520) which correspond to elected claim set.  Examiner agreed to examine non elected claim set subject to applicant submitting amendments to the claim set to more closely focus on inventive concept; further, Examiner agreed to withdraw the restriction requirement and Applicant agreed to formally withdraw lack of unity petition requirement as petition is now moot; and, applicant agreed to cancel superfluous claims and to focus on inventive concept.						
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.						
<b>Examiner recordation instructions</b> : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.						
☐ Attachment						
/Kelly Campen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691						

Application No.

Applicant(s)